

The renormalization of the effective gauge Lagrangian with spontaneous symmetry breaking: the $U(1)$ case

Qi-Shu Yan^{*} and Dong-Sheng Du[†]

Theory division, Institute of high energy physics, Chinese academy of sciences, Beijing
100039, Peoples' Republic of China

We study the renormalization of the nonlinear effective $U(1)$ Lagrangian up to $O(p^4)$ with spontaneous symmetry breaking. The problems of the quartic divergences and of the truncation of infinite divergence tower are addressed. The renormalization group equations of the effective Lagrangian are derived, which make it possible to get the leading logarithm corrections of the heavy degree of freedom, even if the effective and full theories are matched at tree level. The method we use in the $U(1)$ case can easily be extended to study the non-Abelian effective theories and the electroweak chiral Lagrangian.

To understand the nature, the effective field theory method is a tool universal, practical, and powerful [1, 2]. For example, the Fermi weak interaction theory works quite well at the energy scale below m_W even before the standard model is established. And the effective Hamiltonian method is widely used in the B physics enterprise [3]. Although the predictivity of a general effective theory is restrained due to the fact that there are infinite permitted operators in its Lagrangian, at the region with energy lower enough than the ultraviolet cutoff, these operators can be well organized in terms of their importance to the low energy dynamics (i.e. their dimension and the strength of their couplings). For example, among the three groups of effective operators [4]—the relevant, marginal, and irrelevant ones—only the first two groups dominate the dynamics of the low energy QED and QCD. And in the Fermi theory and B physics theory, only operators equal and below dimension 6 are important.

As one of important application of the effective field theory method, the effective gauge theories with spontaneous symmetry breaking play a very special role to describe

^{*}E-mail Address: yanqs@mail.ihep.ac.cn

[†]E-mail Address: duds@mail.ihep.ac.cn

the microscopic world, for example, the QCD chiral Lagrangian [5], which describes the interactions among hadrons, and the electroweak chiral Lagrangian [6], which describes the interactions among massive vector bosons. As we know, the renormalization group equations (RGEs) of an effective theory are one of its basic ingredients to describe the behavior of a given system, which can efficiently sum up the logarithm corrections from the quantum fluctuation of low energy degrees of freedom (DOF), eliminate or alleviate the renormalization scale and scheme dependences, and improve the perturbation method, especially when the radiative corrections are significant, in B physics for instance. But for the effective gauge theories with massive vector bosons (and nonlinear interactions), it seems not easy to take into account the radiative corrections of low energy quantum DOF.

This difficulty is more manifest when we represent the effective gauge theories in their unitary gauge. The propagator of massive vector bosons can be expressed as

$$i\Delta^{\mu\nu} = i\Delta_T^{\mu\nu} + i\Delta_L^{\mu\nu} , \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta_T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{k^2 - m_V^2} \left(-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2} \right) , \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta_L^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{m_V^2} \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2} , \quad (3)$$

where Δ_T and Δ_L represent the transverse and longitudinal parts, respectively. The longitudinal part of the propagator can bring into quartic divergences and lead to the well-known bad ultraviolet behavior. Two direct consequences of this fact are 1) that the quartic divergences will appear in radiative corrections and 2) that low dimensions operators can induce the infinite number of divergences of higher dimension operators. In a renormalizable theory, the Higgs model for instance, these two problems do not exist [9]. The quartic divergences produced by the low energy DOF just cancel exactly with those produced by the Higgs scalar, and no extra divergence structure will appear.

In this letter, we will show that these two problems can be overcome in the framework of the effective theory. And, indeed, we can conduct the renormalization procedure order by order, as we do in those renormalizable theories. As an example to demonstrate the related conceptions and methods, we extract the one-loop RGEs of the effective $U(1)$ gauge theory up to the relevant and marginal ($O(p^4)$) operators.

First, we briefly introduce the $U(1)$ Higgs model [7] and the effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$ with spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism. The partition functional of the renormalizable $U(1)$ Higgs model can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \mathcal{D}\phi_1 \mathcal{D}\phi_2 \exp (i\mathcal{S}[A, \phi_1, \phi_2]) , \quad (4)$$

where the action $\mathcal{S}$ is determined by the following Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (D\phi)^\dagger \cdot (D\phi) + \mu^2\phi^\dagger\phi - \frac{\lambda}{4}(\phi^\dagger\phi)^2, \quad (5)$$

and the definition of quantities in the Lagrangian is given below

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu, \quad (6)$$

$$D_\mu\phi = \partial_\mu\phi - iA_\mu\phi, \quad (7)$$

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + i\phi_2). \quad (8)$$

The mass square in the Higgs potential μ^2 is positive, as prescribed by hand. And the vacuum expectation value of Higgs field is nonzero and $\langle\phi\rangle = v/\sqrt{2}$. Then $U(1)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken, and the vector bosons A obtains their masses.

The non-linear realization of the Lagrangian with spontaneous symmetry breaking is made by changing the variable ϕ

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + \rho)U, \quad U = \exp\left(\frac{i\xi}{v}\right), \quad v = 2\sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}}, \quad (9)$$

then it reaches

$$\mathcal{L}' = -\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{(v + \rho)^2}{2}(DU)^\dagger \cdot (DU) + \frac{1}{2}\partial\rho \cdot \partial\rho + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2(v + \rho)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{16}(v + \rho)^4. \quad (10)$$

The field U is the Goldstone boson as prescribed by the Goldstone theorem, and ρ is a massive scalar field. And the change of variables induces a determinant factor in the functional integral

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \mathcal{D}\rho \mathcal{D}\xi \exp(i\mathcal{S}'[A, \rho, \xi]) \det\left\{\left(1 + \frac{1}{v}\rho\right)\delta(x - y)\right\}. \quad (11)$$

The determinant can be written in the exponential form (we can also represent it into ghost terms), and correspondingly the Lagrangian density is modified to

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}' - i\delta(0)\ln\left\{1 + \frac{1}{v}\rho\right\}. \quad (12)$$

This determinant containing quartic divergences is indispensable and crucial to cancel exactly the quartic divergences caused by the longitudinal part of vector bosons [8, 9], and is important in verifying the renormalizability of the Higgs model in U-gauge.

In the low energy region, the effective dynamic DOFs of the $U(1)$ gauge theory contain only the vector gauge bosons and the Goldstone boson. The most general effective

$U(1)$ gauge theory with $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, Lorentz space-time symmetry, the discrete charge, parity, and combined CP symmetries, can be constructed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_4 + \cdots + \mathcal{L}_{qd}, \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{v^2}{2}(DU)^\dagger \cdot (DU), \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = -\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + d_1[(DU)^\dagger \cdot (DU)]^2, \quad (15)$$

$\cdots,$

$$\mathcal{L}_{qd} = i\delta(0) \left\{ e_1 \frac{(DU)^\dagger \cdot (DU)}{m_1^2} + e_2 \frac{[(DU)^\dagger \cdot (DU)]^2}{m_1^4} + \cdots \right\}, \quad (16)$$

where the $\mathcal{L}_2$ contains relevant operator, the $\mathcal{L}_4$ contains marginal operators, and the irrelevant operators are represented by the dots. The m_1 is the mass of vector bosons, and $m_1 = ev$. The term $[(DU)^\dagger \cdot (DU)]$ in the $\mathcal{L}_2$ reflects the effects of $U(1)$ symmetry breaking (the vector bosons obtained their masses). And the effective coupling d_1 in the $\mathcal{L}_4$ is one of the anomalous couplings if judging from the viewpoint of the renormalizable $U(1)$ gauge theory. The operators in $\mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_4$ belong to the operators up to $O(p^4)$ in the usual momentum counting rule. The effective Lagrangian (13) is invariant under the following $U(1)$ gauge transformation

$$U \rightarrow \exp(-i\alpha)U, \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha. \quad (17)$$

Matching the full theory with the effective theory by integrating out the massive scalar field ρ , we can fix the effective couplings at the matching scale $\mu = m_0$. Since we only consider the integrating-out at tree level at the matching scale, the equation of motion of the heavy Higgs boson ρ , which expresses the ρ in the low energy DOFs, is sufficient for this purpose, which reads

$$\rho = \frac{v}{m_0^2}(DU)^\dagger \cdot (DU) + \cdots, \quad (18)$$

where m_0 is the mass of Higgs boson. The omitted terms contain at least four covariant partials. The effective couplings of operators at the matching scale m_0 are fixed and listed below

$$d_1(m_0) = \frac{v^2}{2m_0^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad e_1(m_0) = -\frac{m_1^2}{m_0^2}, \quad e_2(m_0) = -\frac{m_1^4}{2m_0^4}, \quad \cdots. \quad (19)$$

The $d_1(m_0)$ vanishes in the decoupling limit $m_0^2 \rightarrow \infty$, and it is to reflect the remnants of the high energy dynamics.

It is remarkable that terms in the $\mathcal{L}_{qd}$ must be kept in the effective Lagrangian, and they are nonvanishing and can be derived directly from the Lagrangian density of the non-linear Higgs model given in Eqn. (12). The spurious argument to drop these quartic divergence terms from the effective Lagrangian, by regarding that these terms are infinite and have no physical significance, can not hold, since, as shown in the U-gauge, they do play a necessary part to cancel the quartic divergences produced by the longitudinal part of vector propagators. Such a term is also crucial for the elimination of quartic divergences coming from the loop corrections in the effective theory, as will be shown below. In effect we can drop all quartic divergences from the beginning, but to include them and find the cancellation mechanism seems more consistent in a quantum field theory.

To consider the logarithmic contributions of the low energy DOFs to the effective couplings of the $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$ given in Eqn. (13), we will use the RGE method to sum them. Compared with the procedure given in [10], where the decoupling limit is taken before the direct one-loop level matching step is made to extract the logarithmic contributions, our procedure is more consistent in the framework of effective theory and is rather simpler.

To derive the RGEs of the effective theory, we conduct our calculation in the background field method (BFM) [11]. In this method, the vector boson fields are split into background and quantum parts, which are represented as $\overline{A}$ and $\hat{A}$, respectively. The Goldstone field is also split into two parts,

$$U = \overline{U}\hat{U}, \quad \hat{U} = \exp\left(\frac{i\xi}{v}\right), \quad (20)$$

but the background Goldstone field $\overline{U}$ can be absorbed in the redefinition of the background vector fields $\overline{A}$ by invoking the Stueckelberg transformation [12]

$$\overline{A}_\mu^s \rightarrow \overline{A}_\mu + i\partial_\mu \overline{U}, \quad (21)$$

and will not appear in the effective Lagrangian any more. After integrating-out the quantum fields, by using the inverse Stueckelberg transformation we can restore the effective Lagrangian in its low energy dynamic variables of the $\overline{A}$ and $\overline{U}$.

As one of the advantages of the BFM, we have the freedom to choose different gauges for the background part and the quantum part. To formulated the quadratic terms of quantum fields into the standard form given in Eq. (26), the gauge fixing term of the quantum fields can be chosen as

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} = -\frac{1}{2e^2}(\partial \cdot \hat{A} + f_{A\xi}\xi)^2, \quad (22)$$

where $f_{A\xi} = e^2v$.

Up to one loop level, the partition functional of the effective Lagrangian in the back-ground gauge can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z} &= \exp\left(i\mathcal{S}^{ren}[\overline{A}^s]\right) = \exp\left(i\mathcal{S}_{tree}[\overline{A}^s] + i\delta\mathcal{S}_{tree}[\overline{A}^s] + i\mathcal{S}_{1-loop}[\overline{A}^s] + \dots\right) \\ &= \exp\left(i\mathcal{S}_{tree}[\overline{A}^s] + i\delta\mathcal{S}_{tree}[\overline{A}^s]\right) \int \mathcal{D}\hat{A}_\mu \mathcal{D}\xi \exp\left(i\mathcal{S}[\hat{A}, \xi; \overline{A}^s]\right),\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

where the tree effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{tree}$ is in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{tree} &= -\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{v^2}{2}\overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s + d_1(\overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s)^2 + \dots \\ &\quad + i\delta(0)\left(e_1\frac{\overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s}{m_1^2} + e_2\frac{(\overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s)^2}{m_1^4} + \dots\right),\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

And the counter terms of the effective Lagrangian $\delta\mathcal{L}_{tree}$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{L}_{tree} &= -\delta Z_{e^2}\frac{1}{4e^2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \delta Z_{v^2}\frac{v^2}{2}\overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s + \delta Z_{d_1}d_1(\overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s)^2 + \dots \\ &\quad + i\delta(0)\left(\delta e_1\frac{\overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s}{m_1^2} + \delta e_2\frac{(\overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s)^2}{m_1^4} + \dots\right),\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

where the renormalization constant of $\overline{A}^s$ is set to 1.

We would like to comment on the partition functional given in Eq. (23). According to the matching procedure prescribed by H. Georgi [1], it is the Green functions of the full and effective theories that should be matched. Equivalently, we can match the effective irreducible vertex functional. In fact we can indeed conduct the procedure of integrating out and matching in the BFM from the full theory at tree level, which yields the same result as given in Eq. (19).

Up to one-loop corrections, only quadratic terms in $\mathcal{S}[\hat{A}, \xi; \overline{A}^s]$ are important, which can be organized as

$$\mathcal{L}_{quad} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{A}_\mu \square_{AA}^{\mu\nu} \hat{A}_\nu + \frac{1}{2}\xi \square_{\xi\xi} \partial^2 \xi + \frac{1}{2}\hat{A}_\mu X_{A\xi}^\mu \xi + \frac{1}{2}\xi X_{\xi A}^\nu \hat{A}_\nu, \quad (26)$$

$$\square_{AA}^{\mu\nu} = (\partial^2 + m_1^2)g^{\mu\nu} - \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad (27)$$

$$\square_{\xi\xi} = (\partial^2 + m_1^2) - X^\alpha \partial_\alpha - X^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta, \quad (28)$$

$$\sigma_{AA}^{\mu\nu} = -4e^2 d_1 D A^{\mu\nu}, \quad (29)$$

$$X^\alpha = -\frac{4d_1}{v^2} \partial_\beta D A^{\mu\nu}, \quad (30)$$

$$X^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{4d_1}{v^2} D A^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (31)$$

$$X_{A\xi}^\mu = X_{A\xi}^{\mu\alpha} \partial_\alpha + X_{A\xi;03}^\mu, \quad (32)$$

$$X_{\xi A}^\nu = X_{\xi A}^{\nu\alpha} \partial_\alpha + X_{\xi A;03}^\nu, \quad (33)$$

$$X_{A\xi}^{\mu\alpha} = -\frac{4ed_1}{v}DA^{\mu\alpha} , \quad (34)$$

$$X_{\xi A}^{\nu\alpha} = \frac{4ed_1}{v}DA^{\nu\alpha} , \quad (35)$$

$$X_{A\xi;03}^\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\alpha X_{A\xi}^{\mu\alpha} , \quad (36)$$

$$X_{\xi A;03}^\nu = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\alpha X_{\xi A}^{\nu\alpha} , \quad (37)$$

$$DA^{\mu\nu} = \overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s g^{\mu\nu} + 2\overline{A}^{s\mu}\overline{A}^{s\nu} . \quad (38)$$

Here we have performed the diagonalization transformation $\hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}/e$ to make the quadratic terms of quantum vector fields in their standard forms. Now in order to extract operators only up to the relevant and the marginal ($O(p^4)$) operators, we introduce an auxiliary dimension counting rule, which reads

$$[\overline{A}]_a = [\partial]_a = 1 , \quad [v]_a = 0 . \quad (39)$$

This auxiliary counting rule gives

$$[X^\alpha]_a = [X_{A\xi;03}^\mu]_a = [X_{\xi A;03}^\nu]_a = 3 , \quad [\sigma^{\mu\nu}]_a = [X^{\alpha\beta}]_a = [X_{A\xi}^{\mu\alpha}]_a = [X_{\xi A}^{\nu\alpha}]_p = 2 . \quad (40)$$

Since there is no terms with dimension 1 in the standard form, then terms with dimension 3 will not contribute to the one-loop effective Lagrangian up to $O(p^4)$. Therefore below we will neglect them in our calculations. We would like to point out that this auxiliary dimension counting rule by itself is to extract terms with two and four external fields, if speaking in the language of Feynman diagrams.

The quadratic terms can be directly calculated in the functional integral. Then after integrating out all quantum fields, the $\mathcal{L}_{1-loop}$ reads

$$\int_x \mathcal{L}_{1-loop} = i\frac{1}{2} \left[Tr \ln \square_{AA} + Tr \ln \square_{\xi\xi} + Tr \ln \left(1 - X_{\xi A}^\mu \square_{A\xi;\mu\nu}^{-1} X_{A\xi}^\nu \square_{\xi\xi}^{-1} \right) \right] . \quad (41)$$

This form is quite compact, but we need to expand it and extract those terms up to $O(p^4)$.

With the divergence and auxiliary dimension counting rules, it is direct to evaluate the logarithm and trace given in Eqn. (41) up to the relevant and marginal ($O(p^4)$) order by both the Feynman rules method in the background field method and the covariant heat kernel method. Considering that the Schwinger proper time and heat kernel method is explicit covariant and are simpler than the Feynman rule method in the non-Abelian cases, we demonstrate the covariant heat kernel method (In the $U(1)$ case, these two methods are different in the representative spaces, and the former method has no much advantage over the latter one). Here the divergence counting rule is just the ordinary

Dyson power counting rule (in the coordinate space). In fact, these two rules can help us to extract the divergences up to any a specified order.

Below we conduct our calculation in the Euclidean space. In the Schwinger proper time and the heat kernel method, the propagators can be expressed as

$$\langle x | \square_{AA;\mu\nu}^{-1} | y \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(4\pi\lambda)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-m_1^2\lambda) \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{4\lambda}\right) H_{AA}^{\mu\nu}(x, y; \lambda) , \quad (42)$$

$$\langle x | \square_{\xi\xi}^{-1} | y \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(4\pi\lambda)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp(-m_1^2\lambda) \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{4\lambda}\right) H_{\xi\xi}(x, y; \lambda) , \quad (43)$$

where $z = y - x$. The H_{AA} and $H_{\xi\xi}$ can be expanded with reference to λ , and the corresponding coefficients are called Silly-De Witt coefficients [13, 14].

The $Tr \ln \square_{AA}$ can only contribute to quadratic and logarithm divergences, and can be evaluated in the standard minimal subtraction scheme, which yields

$$Tr \ln \square_{AA} = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int_x \left\{ -m_1^2 tr(\sigma_{AA}^{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} tr(\sigma_{AA}^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{AA,\nu\mu}) \right\} . \quad (44)$$

The $Tr \ln \square_{\xi\xi}$ can be expressed as

$$Tr \ln \square_{\xi\xi} = Tr \ln(\partial^2 + m_1^2) + Tr \ln \left(1 - X^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta (\partial^2 + m_1^2)^{-1} \right) , \quad (45)$$

where the first term can be neglected since it contains no external field and only contribute to the unobservable vacuum. The second term contributes to the quartic divergences and can be expanded as

$$Tr \ln(1 - X^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta (\partial^2 + m_1^2)^{-1}) = -Tr X^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta (\partial^2 + m_1^2)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} Tr \left[X^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta (\partial^2 + m_1^2)^{-1} \right]^2 + \dots , \quad (46)$$

where the first term in the rhs of Eqn (46) is proportional to $\overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s / m_1^2$, and the second one is proportional to $[\overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s]^2 / m_1^4$. We can always adjust the δe_1 and δe_2 (which are finite) in the counter terms $\delta \mathcal{L}_{tree}$ given in (25) to guarantee that e_1^{ren} and e_2^{ren} vanish. So in practical calculation quartic divergences can be simply thrown away.

The $Tr \ln \left(1 - X_{\xi A}^\mu \square_{AA;\mu\nu}^{-1} X_{A\xi}^\nu \square_{\xi\xi}^{-1} \right)$ can be expanded as

$$Tr \ln \left(1 - X_{\xi A}^\mu \square_{AA;\mu\nu}^{-1} X_{A\xi}^\nu \square_{\xi\xi}^{-1} \right) = -Tr X_{\xi A}^\mu \square_{AA;\mu\nu}^{-1} X_{A\xi}^\nu \square_{\xi\xi}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} Tr (X_{\xi A}^\mu \square_{AA;\mu\nu}^{-1} X_{A\xi}^\nu \square_{\xi\xi}^{-1})^2 + \dots . \quad (47)$$

The first term in the rhs of Eqn. (47) is quadratically divergent, the auxiliary dimension counting rule indicates that it contributes to the operators in $O(p^4)$, $O(p^6)$, and so on.

While the second term is logarithm divergent, and the auxiliary dimension counting rule indicates it contributes to the operators in $O(p^8)$, $O(p^{10})$, and so on. So here we see one of the characteristics of the non-linear interaction—that low dimension operators can induce infinite number of divergences of higher dimension operators. Such a fact is also quite explicit if the calculation is conducted in the unitary gauge. However, since we only consider the effective theory up to the $O(p^4)$ order, we can drop those higher dimension divergences. The justification for this practice will be explained below.

Now we use the Schwinger proper time and the heat kernel method to calculate the trace of the first term of the rhs of Eqn. (47). In the coordinate space, the trace can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} II &= Tr X_{\xi A}^{\mu} \square_{A A; \mu\nu}^{-1} X_{A\xi}^{\nu} \square_{\xi\xi}^{-1} \\ &= \langle x | X_{\xi A}^{\mu} | x \rangle \langle x | \square_{A A; \mu\nu}^{-1} | y \rangle \langle y | X_{A\xi}^{\nu} | y \rangle \langle y | \square_{\xi\xi}^{-1} | x \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Keeping only terms up to $O(p^4)$, we can write II as

$$II = -e^2 \int_{z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2} \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{(4\pi)^d} \frac{X_{\xi A}^{\mu\alpha} X_{A\xi}^{\nu\beta}}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \frac{z_{\alpha} z_{\beta}}{4\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \exp[-m_1^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)] \exp\left[-\frac{z^2}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\right)\right]. \quad (49)$$

After integrating all variables, we get

$$II = -\frac{1}{2} X_{\xi A}^{\mu\alpha} X_{A\xi}^{\nu\beta} g_{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (50)$$

Combining all contributions, we get $\mathcal{L}_{1-loop}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1-loop} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{2}{\epsilon} \left[12d_1 v^2 e^4 \overline{A}^s \cdot \overline{A}^s \right] + \dots, \quad (51)$$

and from it, we can construct the counter terms and extract renormalization constants. With the renormalization constants, we can get the RGEs of the effective theory, which read

$$\frac{dv^2}{dt} = -48d_1 \alpha_e^2 v^2, \quad (52)$$

where $\alpha_e = e^2/(4\pi)$. It's remarkable that the gauge coupling e and the effective coupling d_1 do not run. The reason is that there is no logarithmic divergence of the gauge kinetic term, since the $U(1)$ gauge contains no self-interaction. While for d_1 , the reason is that the logarithmic divergences of the d_1 term from the $Tr \ln \square_{A A}$ and the $Tr \ln (1 - X_{\xi A}^{\mu} \square_{A A; \mu\nu}^{-1} X_{A\xi}^{\nu} \square_{\xi\xi}^{-1})$ cancel exactly.

The RGE given in Eq. (52) can be solved exactly, and the solutions read

$$v^2(t) = v_{UV}^2 \exp\left(-48\alpha_e^2 d_1 t\right), \quad (53)$$

It's apparent that the radiative corrections of low energy DOF tend to increase the value of v^2 when the sliding scale of the effective theory run from its ultraviolet (UV) cutoff down to its infrared cutoff, the magnitude of the change depends on the values of e , v_{UV} , and, $d_{1,UV}$.

The RGE method of an effective theory is an improvement on the perturbation calculation, and will make it simple, at least at the one-loop level, to match the effective and full theories. Without using the RGE method, the matching directly at the one-loop level is quite complicated.

We have shown in the effective $U(1)$ gauge theory as how to conduct the renormalization at $O(p^4)$ order. As we have emphasized, that the quartic divergent terms must be added in the effective Lagrangian in order to eliminate the quartic divergences generated by the loops of low energy DOF. And those two counting rules—the divergence and auxiliary dimension counting rules—are quite helpful extract the terms up to a specified order.

In principle, the procedure we shown above can be extended to discuss any specified higher order corrections. As stated in the reference [15], if we take into account all permitted operators, it is still possible to do the renormalization of an effective theory as we do in the renormalizable one without any proximity to truncate the infinite operator series. However, according to the predictions of the Wilson renormalization method [4] and the surface theorem found by Polchinski [16], the higher the dimension of the operator, the smaller is the strength of the couplings at low energy scale. So for a specified accuracy, when the low energy scale is smaller enough than the UV cutoff scale, we can safely neglect the contributions of those higher dimension operators (even there are divergences originated from low energy DOFs), and only take into account the effects of those finite important operators. That's why we can truncate the infinite divergences tower to the $O(p^4)$ order.

We have checked the above methods to extract divergent structures by computing directly in the Feynman-Dyson method with the usual Feynman rules and Feynman diagrams, which yields the same results. In the example of the $U(1)$ theory, we have conducted our computation in the Feynman and 't hooft gauge, and in fact, it is also quite simple to calculate in the U-gauge. However, in the non-Abelian cases, considering that fact that the U-gauge will bring into double poles [9, 17] and we will stick to use the Feynman and 't hooft gauge. The conceptions and method can easily extended to the non-Abelian cases. Using the background field method, Stueckelberg transformation, those two counting rules, and, the method to evaluate the logarithm and trace, the RGEs

of non-linear effective non-Abelian theories with spontaneous symmetry breaking can also be derived [18].

Acknowledgments: One of the author, Q. S. Yan, would like to thank Professor C. D. Lü and Dr. X. J. Bi for helpful discussion. Especial thanks are given for Professor Y. P. Kuang, who has helped to find the right auxiliary dimension counting rule and made a good comment on the matching procedure, and for Professor Q. Wang, who has helped in improving the presentation of this paper. The work of Q. S. Yan is supported by the Chinese Postdoctoral Science Foundation and the CAS K. C. Wong Postdoctoral Research Award Foundation. The work of D. S. Du is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

- [1] H. Georgi, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **43** (1993) 209.
- [2] A. Pich, hep-ph/9806303.
- [3] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras, and M. E. Lauthenbache, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 68 (1996) 1125.
- [4] K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, *Phys. Repts.* 12 C, (1974) 75.
- [5] J. Gasser, and H. Leutwyler, *Nucl. Phys. B* 250 (1985) 465; *Ann. Phys.* 158 (1984) 142.
- [6] A. C. Longhitano, *Phys. Rev. D* 22 (1980) 1166; *Nucl. Phys. B* 188 (1981) 118; T. Appelquist, and G. H. Wu, *Phys. Rev. D* 48 (1993) 3235.
- [7] P. W. Higgs, *Phys. Rev.* 145 (1966) 1156.
- [8] K. Nishijima, and T. Watanabe; *Prog. Theor. Physics.* Vol 49 (1973) 341.
- [9] K. A. Woodhouse, *Nuovo Cimento A* 23 (1973) 459; C. Grosse-Knetter, and R. Kögerler, *Phys. Rev. D* 48 (1993) 2865.
- [10] M. J. Herrero, and E. R. Morales, *Nucl. Phys. B* 418 (1994) 431; S. Dittmaier and C. Grosse-Knetter, hep-ph/9505266 and hep-ph/9501285.
- [11] B.S. DeWitt, *Phys. Rev.* 162(1967)1195, 1239.
- [12] E. C. G. Stueckelberg., *Helv. Phys. Acta* 11 (1938) 299; 30 (1956) 209; T. Kunimasa and T. Goto, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 37 (1967) 524.
- [13] R. D. Ball, *Phys. Rep.* 182 (1989) 1.

- [14] I. G. Avramidi, *Lecture Notes in Physics: N.s. M. Monograph; 64* **Heat Kernel and Quantum Gravity**, Springer, 2000.
- [15] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. I*, Published by Cambridge University Press, Chapter 12.
- [16] J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. B 231 (1984) 269.
- [17] H. Sonoda, hep-th/0108217.
- [18] Q. S. Yan, and D. S. Du, in preparation.